IN THE	IMITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
IIN THE	UNITED	SIAIES	DISTRICT	COUKI

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CROSLEY ET AL,

Plaintiff,

No. C 05-04051 JSW

ORDER GRANTING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CITY OF PITTSBURG ET AL,

MINISTRATIVE MOTION TO

Defendant.

The Court has considered Plaintiffs' administrative motion to consider their motion for partial summary judgment, which moves for summary judgment on the basis that the Defendants violated Plaintiff Doris Crosley's Fourth Amendment rights by making a warrantless entry into her home. Defendants have not filed an opposition to Plaintiffs' administrative motion within the time period required under the Northern District Civil Local Rules, and the motion is ripe for decision.

The Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiffs' administrative motion. In addition, although the Northern District Civil Local Rules contemplate continuing a hearing when a motion for summary judgment has not been filed with the requisite 35 day notice, Plaintiffs raised the issue that is the subject of their partial motion in their opposition to Defendants' motion. Further, Defendants respond to that argument in their reply brief. The Court thus believes it is appropriate to address Plaintiffs' cross-motion in connection with Defendants' motion.

Therefore, the HEARING on Defendants' motion is CONTINUED to August 31, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. The Court will hear Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment on that date

as well, and if Defendants wishes to present any further argument or evidence in opposition to
the motion, they shall do so by no later than August 10, 2007. Because Plaintiffs' motion
presents a discrete legal issue, any supplemental brief from Defendants shall not exceed eight
pages. Plaintiffs' reply brief shall be due by no later than August 17, 2007, and also shall not
exceed eight pages.

If the parties wish to modify the hearing date or briefing schedule, they must submit either a stipulation and proposed order showing good cause to do so, or an administrative request to alter the schedule, again showing good cause to do so.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2007

JEFFREY S/WHITE/ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE